

# Successful management of a patient with pacemaker for flap surgery of exposed pulse generator device using magnet

Amarja Sachin Nagre<sup>1</sup>, Nagesh Jambure<sup>1</sup>, Vasanti Kelker<sup>1</sup>, Sanhita Kulkarni<sup>1</sup>, Amruta Tilwe<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Patient with permanent pacemaker for non cardiac surgery with incision over the pacemaker device and using magnet during the procedure are important features of our case.

**Case Report:** 60 years male, diabetic, hypertensive with H/o myocardial infarction 15 years back with pacemaker implanted in 2012 came for deltopectoral flap surgery. Intraoperative conversion of the pacemaker to asynchronous mode using magnet and various precautions to avoid pacemaker malfunction are the highlights of this case management.

**Conclusion:** Successful management of a patient with permanent pacemaker using magnet is possible with utmost care and precautions.

**Keywords:** Pacemaker, magnet, asynchronous.

### Introduction

Patients with permanent pacemaker for non cardiac surgery pose a considerable challenge [1]. Challenges increase as distance of surgical site from the pacemaker decreases. We present a case with incision over the pacemaker device making it more complicated and usage of magnet during the procedure makes it noteworthy.

### Case report

A 60 years old male presented with uncontrolled diabetes on oral hypoglycemic agents, hypertensive with H/o myocardial infarction 15 years back and pacemaker implanted for complete heart block before 3 years with pulse generator in right infraclavicular region. Patient had infection at local site so pulse generator was placed in left infraclavicular region. Recurrence of infection occurred exposing the pacemaker and hence patient was posted for deltopectoral flap under

general anaesthesia. Preoperative assessment was done. Patient had good effort tolerance. Pulse : 64/min BP : 140/94 mm Hg. Blood investigations: Hb :15.9 gm% TLC :6290/cumm Fasting BSL :96mg/dl, Na :140 mEq/L, K : 3.8 mEq/L. ECG : Rate :62/minute, ST elevation in II and III. 2D ECHO showed ejection fraction: 55 % with grade II diastolic dysfunction. Pacemaker details-DDDR Pacemaker, inserted in 2012, Vitatron E60A1DR with pulse rate : 60-120 bpm and with adequate battery life.

### Management

All emergency drugs, defibrillator and temporary pacemaker were kept ready. Standard monitoring with ECG, NIBP, SpO<sub>2</sub> and ETCO<sub>2</sub> was done. Pacemaker was set to ASYNCHRONOUS mode using MAGNET at pulse rate of 70 bpm. Pre-oxygenation with 100% oxygen and induction was done with Inj. fentanyl 3µg/kg, Inj. propofol 0.5 mg/kg and Inj. vecuronium 0.1 mg/kg, intubated and mechanically ventilated with volume control mode. Maintenance of anaesthesia was done with oxygen, air and isoflurane.

Intraoperatively, Ringer lactate 1000 ml and 1 gm paracetamol infusion was given. Normotension, normocapnia, normothermia was maintained. Bipolar cautery was used in short bursts. Reversal was done with inj neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg and inj glycopyllorlate 0.01 mg/kg. Pacemaker was reset to preoperative settings. All measures were taken to prevent shivering. 24 hours ICU monitoring was done and patient was discharged on 3rd postoperative day.

### Discussion

Pacemakers interpret electromagnetic interference (EMI) as intrinsic cardiac activity, not triggering the paced rhythm. EMI can damage the pulse generator. Dual chamber pacemakers are more susceptible than single chamber. Precautions to be taken are reprogramming to asynchronous mode by using magnet. The magnet is placed over the pulse generator to convert it in a non-sensing asynchronous mode with a fixed pacing rate called as magnet rate [1]. Magnets are thus used to protect the pacemaker dependent patient during EMI such as electrocautery [2]. Also, if pacemaker details are not available magnet may identify particular model with the help of magnet rate, which varies among different manufacturers and thus provide clue for its identification [1]. Other precautions to be

<sup>1</sup>MGM Medical College and MCRI  
Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India.

### Address of Correspondence

Dr. Amarja Sachin Nagre  
MD DM FCA Cardiac Anaesthesia  
Assistant Professor and Consultant  
Mahatma Gandhi Mission Medical College  
And MCRI  
Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India.  
Email - dramarja.1@gmail.com



Dr. Amarja Nagre

© 2016 by Journal of Anaesthesia and Critical Care Case Reports | Available on www.jaccr.com |

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



**Figure 1:** Exposed pulse generator device



**Figure 2:** Chest X-ray showing pulse generator device with leads



**Figure 3:** Flap surgery

taken in these patients are shivering and myoclonic movements to be avoided. Also avoid succinylcholine, etomidate, ketamine, direct muscle stimulation, TENS, peripheral nerve stimulator. Electrocautery: Bipolar cautery preferred as current loops between the electrodes only [3] and does not pass through the whole body. Electrocautery should not be used within 15 cms of pacemaker device. Grounding plate should be away from pacemaker on same side of operative field and close to the surgical site, and it should not cross the body. Cautery should be used as short burst of < 2 sec with gap of minimum 10 seconds. Peripheral pulse must be continuously assessed. Increased risk of pulseless electrical activity remains. If malignant ventricular tachyarrhythmias develop cardioversion or

defibrillation is indicated with antero-posterior position of pads and away from the pacemaker site.

### Conclusion

Safe and efficient management of a patient with pacemaker is possible by understanding the implantable system, pacemaker battery life and its indication. Need for conversion to asynchronous mode by using magnet and appropriate use of electrocautery should be done.



**Figure 4:** Skin closure with pacemaker in situ

## References

1. S.Rastogi , S.Goel, D. Tempe . Anaesthetic management of patients with cardiac pacemakers and defibrillators for non cardiac surgery . Annals of cardiac anaesthesia 2005 ; 8 : 21-32.
2. M.E.Stone, B.Slater , A. Fischer . Perioperative management of patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices ; British journal of anaesthesia

2011; 107 : 16-26.

3. Amy G. Rapsang et al. Pacemakers and implantable cardioverter defibrillators- general and anesthetic considerations. Brazilian Journal of Anaesthesiology , May-June 2014, Vol. 64(3):205-214

**Conflict of Interest:** Nil  
**Source of Support:** None

## How to Cite this Article

Nagre AS, Jambure N, Kelker V, Kulkarni S, Tilwe A. Successful management of a patient with pacemaker for flap surgery of exposed pulse generator device using magnet. Journal of Anaesthesia and Critical Care Case Reports Jan-Apr 2016; 2(1):21-22.